

**Subbasin Assessment and Total Maximum Daily
Loads of the North Fork Coeur d'Alene River
(17010301)**

November 1, 2001

Idaho Department of Environmental Quality
Coeur d'Alene Regional Office
2110 Ironwood Parkway
Coeur d'Alene ID 83814

Appendix C: Sediment Model Assumptions and Documentation

Sediment Model Assumptions and Documentation

Background:

Sediment is the pollutant of concern on the majority of the water quality limited streams of the Panhandle Region. The form the sediment takes is most often governed by the lithology or terrane of the region. Two major terranes dominate in northern Idaho. These are the meta-sedimentary Belt Supergroup and granitics present either in the Kaniksu batholith or in smaller intrusions as the Round Top Pluton and the Gem Stocks. In some locations Columbia River Basalt formations are important, but these tend to be to the South and West primarily on the Coeur d'Alene Reservation. Granitics weather to sandy materials with a lesser amount of pebbles or larger particle sizes. Pebbles and larger particle sizes with significant amounts of sand remain in the higher gradient stream bedload. The Belt terranes produce both silt size particles and pebbles and larger particle sizes. Silt particles are transported to low gradient reaches, while the larger sizes comprise the majority of the higher gradient stream bedload. Basalts erodes to silt size and particles similar to the Belt terranes, but the large basalt particles are less resistant, weathering to smaller particles.

Any attempt to model the sediment output of watersheds will provide, relative rather than exact, sediment yields. The model documented here attempts to account for all significant sources of sediment separately. This approach is used to identify the primary sources of sediment in a watershed. This identification of primary sources will be useful as implementation plans designed to remedy these sources are developed. The approach has the added advantage of identifying to the state of the technology all of the sources. If additional investigation indicates sources quantified as minor are not, the model input can be altered to incorporate this new information.

Model Assumptions:

Land use and sediment delivery:

RUSLE is the correct model for pasture. RUSLE accounts for production and delivery of sediment. Sediment modeled by RUSLE is fine.

Sediment yield coefficients measured in-stream on geologies of northern and north central Idaho covers production and delivery of sediment from forested areas. These sediment yield coefficients reflect both fine and coarse sediment.

Sparse and heavy forest of all age classes including seedling-sapling should be given mid range of the sediment yield coefficient for the geologies, while areas not fully stocked by Forest Practices Act standards are given the upper end of the range.

Sediment yield coefficients can be modified within the range observed to estimate highway corridor land use and the effects of repeated wild fires.

Double burned areas have eroded significantly to the stream channel but are not now eroding; a residual sediment load in the channels is possible from previous catastrophic burns.

Erosion from stream bank lateral recession can be estimated with the direct volume method (Erosion and Sediment Yield in Channels Workshop, 1983).

Road sediment production and delivery:

Road erosion using the CWE approach should be limited to the 200 feet of road on either side of road crossings, not to total road mileage.

The use of the McGreer relationship between CWE score and road surface erosion is a valid estimate of road surface fines production and yield. In the case of Belt terrane, it is a conservative (overestimate) estimate.

CWE data collected for actual road fill failures and sediment delivery reflects the situation throughout the watershed. Since the great majority of road failures occur during episodic high discharge events with a 10 - 15-year return period, road failures reflect the actions of the last large event and must be divided by ten for an annualized estimate.

Fines and course loading can be estimated for stream reaches where roads encroach on the stream using estimated an erosion rate on defined model cross-section. Erosion resulting from encroachment occurs primarily during episodic high discharge events with a 10 - 15-year return period, road encroachment erosion must be divided by ten for an annualized estimate.

Failing road fill and eroding bank is composed of fines and course material. The proportions of fines and course material can be estimated from the soil series descriptions of the watershed.

Sediment Delivery:

100% delivery from forestlands with sediment yield coefficients measured in-stream on geologies of northern and north central Idaho.

100% delivery from agricultural lands estimated with RUSLE

100% delivery from all road miles up to 200 feet from a stream crossing as estimated by the McGreer relationship.

Fines and course materials are delivered at the same rate from fill failures and from erosion resulting from road encroachment and bank erosion.

Model Approach:

The sediment model attempts to account for all sources of sediment by partitioning these sources into broad categories.

Land use is a primary broad category. It is treated separate from other characteristics as stream bank erosion and roads. Land use types are divided into agricultural, forest, urban and highways.

Agriculture may be subdivided into working farms and ranches and small ranchettes, which currently exist on subdivided agriculture land. Sediment yields from agricultural lands which receive any tillage, even on an infrequent basis are modeled with the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE). Sediment yields were estimated from agricultural lands (rangeland, pasture and dry agriculture) using the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE) (equation 1)(Hogan, 1998).

Equation 1: $A = (R)(K)(LS)(C)(D)$ tons per acre per year where:

- : A is the average annual soil loss from sheet and rill erosion
- : R is climate erosivity
- : K is the soil erodibility
- : LS is the slope length and steepness
- : C is the cover management and
- : D is the support practices.

RUSLE does not take into account stream bank erosion, gully erosion or scour. RUSLE applies to cropland, pasture, hayland or other land which has some vegetation improvement by tilling or seeding. Based on the soils, characteristics of the agriculture and the slope, sediment yields were developed for the agricultural lands of each watershed. RUSLE develops values which reflect the amount of sediment eroded and delivered to the active channel of the stream system annually.

Forestlands and some land in highway rights of way are modeled using the mean sediment export coefficients measured in-stream on geologies of northern and north central Idaho (USFS, 1994). The values developed by these sediment yield coefficients are sediment eroded and delivered to the stream courses annually. Forestlands that are fully stocked with trees are treated with the median coefficient for sediment yields ascribed to that terrane. Lands not fully stocked by Idaho Forest Practices Act standards are assigned the highest coefficient of the range. Paved road rights of ways are assigned the lowest coefficient of the range. Areas which were burned by two large wild fires as delineated in IPFIRES are adjusted by a coefficient which is the difference between the highest value of the coefficient for the geologic type and the median.


All coefficients are expressed on tons per acre per year basis and are applied to the acreage of each land type developed from Geographical Information System (GIS) coverages. All land uses are displayed with

estimated sediment delivery. Land use sediment delivery is totaled.

Roads are treated separately by the model. Forest haul roads are differentiated from county and private residential roads. County roads often have larger stream passage structures and are normally much wider and have gravel or pavement surfacing. Private residential roads are often limited in extent, but can have poor stream crossing structures. Sediment yields from county and private roads are modeled using a newer RUSLE model (Sandlund, 1999). Road relief, slope length, surfacing, soil material and width were the most critical factors. The sediment yield was applied only to the two hundred feet on either side of stream crossings. Failure of county and private road fills was assumed nonexistent, because such roads are often on more gentle terrain. As a consequence, road fill failures are rare.

Forest roads were modeled using data developed with the cumulative watershed effects (CWE) protocol. A watershed CWE score was used to estimate surface erosion from the road surface. Forest road sediment yield was estimated using a relationship between CWE score and the sediment yield per mile of road (Figure 1). The relationship was developed for roads on a Kaniksu granitic terrane in the LaClerc Creek watershed (McGreer, 1998). Its application to roads on Belt terrane conservatively estimates sediment yields from these systems. The watershed CWE score was used to develop a sediment tons per mile, which was multiplied by the estimated road mileage affecting the streams. In the case of roads, it was assumed that all sediment was delivered to the stream system. These are conservative estimates of actual delivery.

Figure 1: Sediment export of roads based on Cumulative Watershed Effects scores.




Forest road failure was estimated from actual CWE road fill failure and delivery data. These data were interpreted as primarily the result of large discharge events which occur on a 10 - 15-year return period (McClelland et. al, 1997). The estimates were annualized, by dividing the measured values by ten. The data are typically from a subset of the roads in a watershed. The sediment delivery value was scaled using a factor reflecting the watershed road mileage divided by the road mileage assessed. The sediments delivered through this mechanism contain both fine (material including and smaller than pebbles) and course material (pebbles and larger sizes). The percentages of fine and course particles were estimated using the described characteristics of the soils series found in the watershed. The weighted average of the fines and course composition of the B and C soil horizons to a depth of 36 inches was developed using the soils GIS coverage STATSGO, which contains the soils composition data provided by Soils Survey documents. The B and C horizons=composition was used because these are the strata from which forest roads are normally constructed. Based on the developed soil composition percentage and the estimated probable yield, the tons of fine and course material delivered to the streams by fill failure was calculated. This approach assumes equal delivery of fine and course materials.

Roads cause stream sedimentation by an additional mechanism. The presence of roads in the floodplain of a stream most often interferes with the streams=natural tendency to seek a steady state gradient. During high discharge periods, the constrained stream often erodes at the road bed, or if the bed is armored, erodes at the opposite bank or its bed. The erosion resulting from a road imposed gradient change results in stream sedimentation. The model assumes the roads causing gradient effects to be those within fifty (50) feet of the stream. The model then assumes one-quarter inch erosion per lineal foot of bed and bank up to three feet in height. The one-quarter inch cross-section erosion is assumed to be uniform over the bed and banks. The erosion rate was selected from a model curve of erosion in inches compared to modeled sediment yields from a channel ten feet in width (Figure 2). The stream cross-section used was based on the weighted bank full width for all measurements made of streams in the Beneficial Use Reconnaissance and Use Attainability programs. In the case of the North Fork the weighted mean was 54.9 feet (table appended). The erosion is from the soils types in the basin with the weighted percentages of fine and course material. A bulk soil density of 2.6 g/cc is used to convert soil volume into weights in tons. The tons of fine and course material are totaled for all road segments within 50 lineal feet of the stream. The bulk of this erosion is assumed to occur during large discharge events which occur on a 10 - 15-year return period (McClelland et. al, 1997). The estimates were annualized, by dividing the measured values by ten.

Estimates of bank recession are appropriate primarily along low gradient Rosgen B and C channels Rosgen , 1985). The Direct Volume Method as discussed in the Erosion and Sediment Yield Channel Evaluation Workshop (1983) was employed to make the estimates. The method relies on measurement of eroding bank length, lateral recession rate, soil type and particle size to make these estimates. These data were collected by a field crew. The fine and course material fractions of the bank material based on STATSGO


GIS coverage are used to estimate fine and course material delivery to the stream. These values are added into the watershed sediment load.

Figure 2: Modeled sediment yield from thickness of cross-section erosion.



The model does not consider sediment routing. The model does not attempt to estimate the erosion to stream beds and banks resulting from localized sediment deposition in the stream bed. The model does not attempt to measure the effects of additional water capture at road crossings. It is assumed, that on the balance, the additional stream power created by additional water capture over a shorter period would increase net export of sediment, even though some erosion would be caused by this watershed affect.

Model Diagram:



Model Operation:

The model is a simple Excel spreadsheet model composed of four spreadsheets. Key data as acreage and percentages are entered into sheets one and two of the model. County and private road data are supplied in sheet four. The total estimated sediment from the varied sources is calculated in spreadsheet three.

Assessment of Model's Conservative Estimate:

Several conservative assumptions are made in the model construction, which cause its development of conservatively high estimations of sedimentation of the streams modeled. These assumptions are listed in the following paragraphs and a numerical assessment of the magnitude of the conservatism is assigned.

The model uses RUSLE and forest sediment yield coefficients to develop land use sediment delivery estimates. The output values are treated as delivery to the stream. RUSLE assumes delivery if the slope assessed is immediately up gradient from the stream system. This is not the case on the majority of the agricultural land assessed. Estimates made in the Lake Creek Sediment Study indicate that at most 25% of the erosion modeled was delivered as sediment to the stream (Bauer, Golden and Pettit, 1998). A similar local estimate has not been made with sediment yield coefficients, but it is likely this estimate would be 25% as well. The land use model component is 75% conservative.

The roads crossing component of the model assumes 100% delivery of fine sediment from the 200 feet on either side of a stream crossing. It is more likely that some fine sediment remains in ditches. A reasonable level of delivery is 80%. The model is likely 20% conservative in this component. On Belt terrane, use of the McGreer model is conservative. Since the sediment yield coefficients measured in-stream for Kaniksu granitic is 167% of the coefficient for Belt terrane, this factor is estimated to be 67% conservative.

Road encroachment is defined as 50 feet from the stream, primarily because this is near the resolution of commonly used GIS mapping techniques. Roads fifty feet from streams but on side hills would not affect the stream gradient. The model is likely incorrect on encroachment 20% of the time and is conservative by this factor.

Fill failure data is developed from the actual CWE field assessments. The CWE assessment does not assess all the roads in the watershed. The failure rate data is scaled up by the factor of the roads assessed divided into the actual watershed road mileage. The roads assessed are typically those remote from the stream system, which are very unlikely to deliver sediment to the stream. The percentage of watershed roads assessed varies, but it is commonly 60% or less of the watershed roads. The model is 40% conservative in this component.

Table 1 summarizes the conservative assumptions and assesses its numerical level of over-estimation.

Table 1: Estimation of the conservative estimate of stream sedimentation provided by the model.

| Model Factor | Kaniksu Granitic | Belt Supergroup |
|---|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 100% RUSLE and forest land sediment yield delivery | 75% | 75% |
| Crossing delivery | 29% | 20% |
| McGreer Model | 0% | 67% |
| Road encroachment at 50 feet | 20% | 20% |
| Road Failure | 40% | 40% |
| Total Assessment of Over-estimate | 164% | 231% |

The model provides an over estimate by factors of 1.6 and 2.3 for the Kaniksu and Belt terranes, respectively. This over estimation is a built in margin of safety 231% for the North Fork Coeur d'Alene River.

Model verification:

Some verification of the model can be developed by comparison of measured sediment load with those predicted by the model. The USGS measured sediment load at the Harrison Station on the Coeur d'Alene River during water year 1999. Based on this measurement the sediment load per square mile of the basin above this point was calculated to be 32 tons (EPA, 2000, draft). The middle value of the Belt geology sediment yield coefficient range is 14.7 tons per square mile. The model outputs for several watersheds of the North Fork Coeur d'Alene River are provided in Table 2.

Table 2: Modeled sediment output from selected North Fork Coeur d'Alene Watersheds.

| Watershed | square miles | modeled sediment | tons/square mile |
|-------------------|--------------|------------------|------------------|
| Deer | 10.0 | 153.1 | 15.3 |
| Alden | 7.9 | 158.5 | 20.0 |
| Independence | 59.5 | 1,156.1 | 19.4 |
| Trail | 25.2 | 976.1 | 38.7 |
| Flat | 17.6 | 711.9 | 40.5 |
| Prichard | 53.6 | 1,636.5 | 30.6 |
| Burnt Cabin | 28.8 | 1,325.7 | 46.0 |
| Skookum | 7.1 | 191.2 | 27.0 |
| Bumblebee | 24.9 | 901.2 | 36.2 |
| Streamboat | 41.4 | 1,955.3 | 47.2 |
| Graham | 9.3 | 138.4 | 14.9 |
| Little North Fork | 169.0 | 6,769.2 | 40.0 |
| North Fork Total | 903.2 | 30,369.7 | 33.6 |

References cited:

- Bauer, S.B., J. Golden and S. Pettit 1998. Lake Creek Agricultural Project, Summary of Baseline Water Quality Data. Pocketwater Incorporated, 8560 Atwater, Boise ID 83714. 138pp.
- EPA, 2000. Draft Remedial Investigation of Metals Impacts to the Coeur d'Alene Basin. In preparation.
- Steffen, L.J. Erosion and Sediment Yield Channel Evaluation Workshop 1983. Interagency Publication ACOE Hydrologic Engineering training session December 14, 1982. pp.22-24)
- Hogen, M. 1998. Personal communication. Natural Resource Conservation Service, 1620B Northwest Blvd, Suite 103, Coeur d'Alene ID 83814
- McClelland, D.E., R. B. Foltz, W. D. Wilson, T. W. Cundy, R. Heinemann, J. A. Saurbier, and R. L. Schuster, 1997. Assessment of the 1995 and 1996 Floods and Landslides on the Clearwater National Forest, Part I: Landslide Assessment. A Report to the Regional Forester, Northern Region, U.S. Forest Service, December 1997.
- McGreer, D. 1998. Personal communication. Western Watershed Analysts, 313 D Street, Suite 203, Lewiston ID. 83501.
- Rosgen, D.L. 1985. A stream channel classification system. In: Riparian Ecosystems and their Management Reconciling Conflicting Uses. USDA-Forest Service, General Technical Report RM-120. p 91-95.

Sandlund, R. 1999. Communication of RUSLE Modeling Results on County and Private Roads.
Natural Resource Conservation Service, Grangeville ID

USFS. 1994. WATSED - Water and Sediment Yield Mode. Developed by Range, Air, Watershed, and Ecology Staff Unit, Region 1, USDA-Forest Service and Montana Cumulative Watershed Effects Cooperative.

Appendix D: Sediment Model Spreadsheets

Landuse

**North Fork Coeur d'Alene River
Upper North Fork Land Use**

| Sub-watershed | Upper NF | Mosquito | Buckskin | Spruce | Devil | Mid UNF | Deer | Alden | Jordan | Independ. | Lower UNF |
|-----------------------|----------|----------|----------|--------|-------|---------|------|-------|--------|-----------|-----------|
| Pasture (ac) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Forest Land (ac) | 8984 | 3509 | 4361 | 6628 | 3242 | 5947 | 6107 | 4745 | 9756 | 36760 | 7966 |
| Unstocked forest (ac) | 127 | 0 | 315 | 163 | 25 | 386 | 307 | 323 | 1547 | 1320 | 1350 |
| Double Fires (ac) | 0 | 1 | 538 | 7 | 1494 | 1200 | 1074 | 4858 | 2844 | 14467 | 9316 |
| Highway (ac) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |

Road Data

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|-------|
| Forest roads (mi) | 41.2 | 18.3 | 23.3 | 32.1 | 10.5 | 13.1 | 4.9 | 6 | 29.8 | 110.9 | 21.2 | 311.3 |
| Ave. road density (mi/sq mi) | 2.9 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.4 | |
| Road crossing number | 5 | 5 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 25 | 4 | |
| Road crossing freq. | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | |
| Encroaching Forest Roads (mi) | 1.5 | 1 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 0 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 3.9 | 1.8 | 15.9 |
| Roads on unstable lands (mi) | 27.4 | 11.4 | 13.7 | 21.2 | 8.5 | 7.4 | 0 | 4.7 | 22.8 | 72.5 | 10.5 | |
| CWE score | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | |

Sed. Yield

Upper North Fork Sediment Yield

| Watershed | Upper NF | Mosquito | Buckskin | Spruce | Devil | Mid UNF | Deer | Alden | Jordan | Independ. | Lower UNF |
|----------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|--------|-------|---------|------|-------|--------|-----------|-----------|
| Conifer Forest (tons/yr)(fine) | 72.3 | 28.2 | 35.1 | 53.4 | 26.1 | 47.9 | 49.2 | 38.2 | 78.5 | 295.9 | 64.1 |
| (course) | 134.3 | 52.5 | 65.2 | 99.1 | 48.5 | 88.9 | 91.3 | 70.9 | 145.9 | 549.6 | 119.1 |
| Unstoched Forest (tons/yr)(fine) | 1.2 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 14.6 | 12.5 | 12.8 |
| (course) | 2.2 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 2.9 | 0.4 | 6.8 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 27.1 | 23.2 | 23.7 |
| Double Fires (tons/yr)(fine) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 6.8 | 4.0 | 20.3 | 13.0 |
| (course) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 12.6 | 7.4 | 37.6 | 24.2 |
| Highway (tons/yr) (fines) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| (course) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Yield (tons/yr)(fine) | 73.5 | 28.2 | 38.8 | 54.9 | 28.4 | 53.2 | 53.6 | 48.1 | 97.1 | 328.6 | 90.0 |
| (Course) | 136.5 | 52.5 | 72.1 | 102.0 | 52.8 | 98.8 | 99.5 | 89.2 | 180.4 | 610.3 | 167.1 |

County, Forest and Private Road Sediment Yield

| Watershed | Upper NF | Mosquito | Buckskin | Spruce | Devil | Mid UNF | Deer | Alden | Jordan | Independ. | Lower UNF |
|---------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|--------|-------|---------|-------|-------|--------|-----------|-----------|
| Forest road | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Surface fine sediment (tons/yr) | 1.9 | 1.9 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 4.2 | 9.5 | 1.5 |
| Road failure fines (tons/yr)* | 1.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 4.5 | 0.6 |
| Road failure course (tons/yr)* | 3.1 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 2.6 | 8.3 | 1.2 |
| Encroachment fines (tons/yr)# | 26.2 | 17.5 | 24.5 | 42.0 | 1.7 | 26.2 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 33.2 | 68.2 | 31.5 |
| Encroachment course) (tons/yr)# | 48.7 | 32.5 | 45.5 | 77.9 | 3.2 | 48.7 | 0.0 | 13.0 | 61.7 | 126.6 | 58.4 |
| Total fine yield (tons/yr) | 29.8 | 20.1 | 28.4 | 45.9 | 2.7 | 28.2 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 38.8 | 82.1 | 33.6 |
| Total course yield (tons/yr) | 51.8 | 33.8 | 47.0 | 80.4 | 4.2 | 49.5 | 0.0 | 13.5 | 64.3 | 134.9 | 59.6 |
| Total sediment (t/yr) | 291.7 | 134.6 | 186.3 | 283.1 | 88.1 | 229.7 | 153.0 | 158.5 | 380.6 | 1156.1 | 350.3 |

Yield Coeff. (tons/ac/yr)
0.023

0.027

0.004

0.019

5 Yield Coeff. (tons/mi/yr)

* Uses mass failure and delivery rates developed from CWE protocol pro-rated for road miles.
0.1767 (8.04 tons/ 10 yr/4.55 mi/10 yr or tons/yr/mi)

Soil Percent Fines^
0.35 Fines
0.65 Course

^ from weighted avearge of fines and stones in soils groups

Assume: one -quarter inch from three feet banks; density = 2.6 g/cc
0.020833 0.25"yr/12"
4.54E+08 119*56*5280*28317cc/ft3*2.6 g/cc = g/yr
9080000 454g/lb* 2000 lb/t*10 yr
49.94769 t/mile

Upper North Fork Watersheds Sediment Export

| Sub-watershed | Upper NF | Mosquito | Buckskin | Spruce | Devil | Mid UNF | Deer | Alden | Jordan | Independ. | Lower UNF | Total |
|---------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|--------|-------|---------|-------|-------|--------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Land use fines export (tons/yr) | 73.5 | 28.2 | 38.8 | 54.9 | 28.4 | 53.2 | 53.6 | 48.1 | 97.1 | 328.6 | 90 | |
| Landuse course export (tons/yr) | 136.5 | 52.5 | 72.1 | 102 | 52.8 | 98.8 | 99.5 | 89.2 | 180.4 | 610.3 | 167.1 | |
| Road fines export (tons/yr) | 29.8 | 20.1 | 28.4 | 45.9 | 2.7 | 28.2 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 38.8 | 82.1 | 33.6 | |
| Road course export (tons/yr) | 51.8 | 33.8 | 47.0 | 80.4 | 4.2 | 49.5 | 0.0 | 13.5 | 64.3 | 134.9 | 59.6 | |
| Total fines export tons/yr) | 103.3 | 48.3 | 67.2 | 100.8 | 31.1 | 81.4 | 53.6 | 55.8 | 135.9 | 410.7 | 123.6 | 1314.8 |
| Total course export tons/yr) | 188.3 | 86.3 | 119.1 | 182.4 | 57.0 | 148.3 | 99.5 | 102.7 | 244.7 | 745.2 | 226.7 | 2200.2 |
| Total (tons/yr) | 291.6 | 134.6 | 186.3 | 283.2 | 88.1 | 229.7 | 153.1 | 158.5 | 380.6 | 1155.9 | 350.3 | 3515.0 |
| Natural Background | 209.6 | 80.7 | 107.5 | 156.2 | 75.1 | 145.7 | 147.5 | 116.6 | 260.0 | 875.8 | 214.3 | 2389.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | 1126.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | 1.471349 |

Landuse

North Fork Coeur d'Alene River Tepee Creek Land Use

| Sub-watershed | Big Elk | Upper TP | Trail | Lower TP |
|-----------------------|---------|----------|-------|----------|
| Pasture (ac) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Forest Land (ac) | 7468 | 14,863 | 15801 | 13209 |
| Unstocked forest (ac) | 35 | 516 | 347 | 1013 |
| Double Fires (ac) | 0 | 250 | 1791 | 4942 |
| Highway (ac) | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

Road Data

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------|------|-------|------|-------|
| Forest roads (mi) | 93.1 | 90.7 | 158.8 | 16.7 | 359.3 |
| Ave. road density (mi/sq mi) | 7.9 | 3.8 | 6.3 | 0.8 | |
| Road crossing number | 22 | 13 | 38 | 16 | |
| Road crossing freq. | 1.3 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.4 | |
| Encroaching Forest Roads (mi) | 4.8 | 3.8 | 11.2 | 3 | 22.8 |
| Roads on unstable lands (mi) | 75.1 | 49.3 | 126.1 | 16.1 | |
| CWE score | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | |

Tepee Creek Sediment Yield

| Watershed | Big Elk | Upper TP | Trail | Lower TP | Yield Coeff. (tons/ac/yr) |
|----------------------------------|---------|----------|-------|----------|---------------------------|
| Conifer Forest (tons/yr)(fine) | 68.7 | 136.7 | 145.4 | 121.5 | 0.023 |
| (course) | 103.1 | 205.1 | 218.1 | 182.3 | |
| Unstoched Forest (tons/yr)(fine) | 0.4 | 5.6 | 3.7 | 10.9 | 0.027 |
| (course) | 0.6 | 8.4 | 5.6 | 16.4 | |
| Double Fires (tons/yr)(fine) | 0.0 | 0.4 | 2.9 | 7.9 | 0.004 |
| (course) | 0.0 | 0.6 | 4.3 | 11.9 | |
| Highway (tons/yr)(fine) | | | | | 0.019 |
| (course) | | | | | |
| Bank Erosion (tons/yr)(fine) | | | | | |
| (course) | | | | | |
| Total Yield (tons/yr)(fine) | 69.1 | 142.7 | 152.0 | 140.4 | |
| (course) | 103.6 | 214.1 | 228.0 | 210.6 | |

County, Forest and Private Road Sediment Yield

| Watershed | Big Elk | Upper TP | Trail | Lower TP | 5 Yield Coeff. (tons/mi/yr) |
|---------------------------------|---------|----------|-------|----------|-----------------------------|
| Forest road | | | | | |
| Surface fine sediment (tons/yr) | 8.3 | 4.9 | 14.4 | 6.1 | |
| Road failure fines (tons/yr)* | 5.3 | 3.5 | 8.9 | 1.1 | |
| Road failure course (tons/yr)* | 8.0 | 5.2 | 13.4 | 1.7 | |
| Encroachment fines (tons/yr)# | 95.9 | 75.9 | 223.8 | 59.9 | |
| Encroachment course) (tons/yr)# | 143.8 | 113.9 | 335.6 | 89.9 | |
| Total fine yield (tons/yr) | 109.5 | 84.3 | 247.1 | 67.1 | |
| Total course yield (tons/yr) | 151.8 | 119.1 | 349.0 | 91.6 | |
| Total sediment (t/yr) | 434.1 | 560.2 | 976.0 | 509.7 | |

* Uses mass failure and delivery rates developed from CWE protocol pro-rated
0.1767 (8.04 tons/ 10 yr/4.55 mi/10 yr or
Soil Percent Fines^
0.4 Fines
0.6 Course
^ from weighted avearge of fines and stones in soils groups

Assume: one -quarter inch from three feet banks; density = 2.6 g/cc
0.020833 0.25"yr/12"
4.54E+08 119*56*5280*28317cc/ft3*2.6 g/cc = g/yr
9080000 454g/lb* 2000 lb/t*10 yr
49.94769 t/mile

Sed. Total

Tepee Creek Watershed Sediment Export

| Sub-watershed | Big Elk | Upper TP | Trail | Lower TP | Total (ton/yr) |
|---------------------------------|---------|----------|-------|----------|----------------|
| Land use fines export (tons/yr) | 69.1 | 142.7 | 152.0 | 140.4 | |
| Landuse course export (tons/yr) | 103.6 | 214.1 | 228.0 | 210.6 | |
| Road fines export (tons/yr) | 109.5 | 84.3 | 247.1 | 67.1 | |
| Road course export (tons/yr) | 151.8 | 119.1 | 349.0 | 91.6 | |
| Total fines export tons/yr) | 178.6 | 227.0 | 399.1 | 207.5 | 1012.2 |
| Total course export tons/yr) | 255.4 | 333.2 | 577.0 | 302.2 | 1467.8 |
| Total (tons/yr) | 434.0 | 560.2 | 976.1 | 509.7 | 2480.0 |
| Natural Background | 172.6 | 353.7 | 371.4 | 327.1 | 1224.8 |
| | | | | | 1255.2 |
| | | | | | 2.024827 |

Landuse

North Fork Coeur d'Alene River Middle North Fork Land Use

| Sub-watershed | Cinnamon | Brett | Miners | Flat | Big Hank | Yellow Dog |
|-----------------------|----------|-------|--------|-------|----------|------------|
| Pasture (ac) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Forest Land (ac) | 3552 | 4,945 | 3967 | 11238 | 9325 | 5090 |
| Unstocked forest (ac) | 842 | 568 | 24 | 13 | 1018 | 5 |
| Double Fires (ac) | 1007 | 3570 | 0 | 0 | 990 | 0 |
| Highway (ac) | 3 | 15 | 11 | 19 | 10 | 0 |

Road Data

| | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|
| Forest roads (mi) | 13.7 | 25.6 | 50.4 | 161.8 | 77 | 74.5 | 403 |
| Ave. road density (mi/sq mi) | 2 | 3 | 8.1 | 9.2 | 4.8 | 9.4 | |
| Road crossing number | 3 | 17 | 8 | 34 | 29 | 19 | |
| Road crossing freq. | 0.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.6 | |
| Encroaching Forest Roads (mi) | 0.3 | 3.8 | 1.6 | 8.5 | 5.3 | 4.6 | 24.1 |
| Roads on unstable lands (mi) | 1.5 | 23.7 | 31.6 | 103.6 | 37 | 38.9 | |
| CWE score | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | |

Sed. Yield

Middle North Fork Sediment Yield

| Watershed | Cinnamon | Brett | Miners | Flat | Big Hank | Yellow Dog | Yield Coeff. (tons/ac/yr) |
|----------------------------------|----------|-------|--------|-------|----------|------------|---|
| Conifer Forest (tons/yr)(fine) | 28.6 | 39.8 | 31.9 | 90.5 | 75.1 | 41.0 | 0.023 |
| (course) | 53.1 | 73.9 | 59.3 | 168.0 | 139.4 | 76.1 | |
| Unstoched Forest (tons/yr)(fine) | 8.0 | 5.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 9.6 | 0.0 | 0.027 |
| (course) | 14.8 | 10.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 17.9 | 0.1 | |
| Double Fires (tons/yr)(fine) | 1.4 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.004 |
| (course) | 2.6 | 9.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 0.0 | |
| Highway (tons/yr) (fine) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.019 |
| (course) | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | |
| Total Yield (tons/yr)(fine) | 38.0 | 50.3 | 32.2 | 90.7 | 86.1 | 41.0 | tons extrapolated from Wolf Lodge Creek; better number Spring 2000. |
| (Course) | 70.5 | 93.4 | 59.9 | 168.5 | 160.0 | 76.2 | |

Forest Road Sediment Yield

| Watershed | Cinnamon | Brett | Miners | Flat | Big Hank | Yellow Dog | 5 Yield Coeff. (tons/mi/yr) |
|---------------------------------|----------|-------|--------|-------|----------|------------|---|
| Forest road | | | | | | | |
| Surface fine sediment (tons/yr) | 1.1 | 6.4 | 3.0 | 12.9 | 11.0 | 7.2 | * Uses mass failure and delivery rates developed from CWE protocol pro-rated for road miles |
| Road failure fines (tons/yr)* | 0.1 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 6.4 | 2.3 | 2.4 | |
| Road failure course (tons/yr)* | 0.2 | 2.7 | 3.6 | 11.9 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 0.1767 (8.04 tons/ 10 yr/4.55 mi/10 yr or tons/yr/mi) |
| Encroachment fines (tons/yr)# | 5.2 | 66.4 | 28.0 | 148.6 | 92.7 | 80.4 | Soil Percent Fines^ |
| Encroachment course) (tons/yr)# | 9.7 | 123.4 | 51.9 | 276.0 | 172.1 | 149.3 | 0.35 Fines |
| Total fine yield (tons/yr) | 6.5 | 74.3 | 33.0 | 167.9 | 105.9 | 90.0 | 0.65 Course |
| Total course yield (tons/yr) | 9.9 | 126.1 | 55.6 | 287.9 | 176.3 | 153.8 | ^ from weighted avearge of fines and stones in soils groups |
| Total sediment (t/yr) | 124.9 | 344.1 | 180.6 | 714.9 | 528.4 | 361.0 | |

Assume: one -quarter inch from three feet banks; density = 2.6 g/cc
0.020833 0.25"yr/12"
4.54E+08 119*56*5280*28317cc/ft3*2.6 g/cc = g/yr
9080000 454g/lb* 2000 lb/t*10 yr
49.94769 t/mile

Sed. Total

Middle North Fork Watersheds Sediment Export

| Sub-watershed | Cinnamon | Brett | Miners | Flat | Big Hank | Yellow Dog | Total (tons/yr) |
|---------------------------------|----------|-------|--------|-------|----------|------------|-----------------|
| Land use fines export (tons/yr) | 38.0 | 50.3 | 32.2 | 90.7 | 86.1 | 41.0 | |
| Landuse course export (tons/yr) | 70.5 | 93.4 | 59.9 | 168.5 | 160.0 | 76.2 | |
| Road fines export (tons/yr) | 6.5 | 74.3 | 33.0 | 167.9 | 105.9 | 90.0 | |
| Road course export (tons/yr) | 9.9 | 126.1 | 55.6 | 287.9 | 176.3 | 153.8 | |
| Total fines export tons/yr) | 44.5 | 124.6 | 65.2 | 258.6 | 192.0 | 131.0 | 815.9 |
| Total course export tons/yr) | 80.4 | 219.5 | 115.5 | 456.4 | 336.3 | 230.0 | 1438.1 |
| Total (tons/yr) | 124.9 | 344.1 | 180.7 | 715.0 | 528.3 | 361.0 | 2254.0 |
| Natural Background | 101.1 | 127.1 | 92.0 | 259.2 | 238.1 | 117.2 | 934.8 |
| | | | | | | | 1319.2 |
| | | | | | | | 2.411121 |

Landuse

North Fork Coeur d'Alene River Shoshone-Lost Landuse

| Sub-watershed | Upper Sho | Falls | Lower Sho | Lost |
|-----------------------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|
| Pasture (ac) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Forest Land (ac) | 25288 | 8,607 | 9967 | 13093 |
| Unstocked forest (ac) | 637 | 70 | 152 | 1284 |
| Double Fires (ac) | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Road Data

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|
| Forest roads (mi) | 232.6 | 149.7 | 131.3 | 65.6 | 579.2 |
| Ave. road density (mi/sq mi) | 5.7 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 2.9 | |
| Road crossing number | 54 | 21 | 18 | 21 | |
| Road crossing freq. | 1 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 1 | |
| Encroaching Forest Roads (mi) | 13.3 | 2.9 | 4.9 | 3.4 | 24.5 |
| Roads on unstable lands (mi) | 128.8 | 78.7 | 52.9 | 39.3 | |
| CWE score | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | |

Sed. Yield

Shoshone-Lost Sediment Yield

| Watershed | Upper Sho | Falls | Lower Sho | Lost | Yield Coeff. (tons/ac/yr) |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|---------------------------|
| Conifer Forest (tons/yr)(fine) | 203.6 | 69.3 | 80.2 | 105.4 | 0.023 |
| (course) | 378.1 | 128.7 | 149.0 | 195.7 | |
| Unstoched Forest (tons/yr)(fine) | 6.0 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 12.1 | 0.027 |
| (course) | 11.2 | 1.2 | 2.7 | 22.5 | |
| Double Fires (tons/yr)(fine) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.004 |
| (course) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Yield (tons/yr)(fine) | 209.7 | 69.9 | 81.7 | 117.5 | |
| (Course) | 389.2 | 129.9 | 151.7 | 218.3 | |

tons extrapolated from Wolf Lodge Creek; better number Spring 2000.

Forest Road Sediment Yield

| Watershed | Upper Sho | Falls | Lower Sho | Lost | 5 Yield Coeff. (tons/mi/yr) |
|---------------------------------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------------------------|
| Forest road | | | | | |
| Surface fine sediment (tons/yr) | 20.5 | 8.0 | 6.8 | 8.0 | |
| Road failure fines (tons/yr)* | 8.0 | 4.9 | 3.3 | 2.4 | |
| Road failure course (tons/yr)* | 14.8 | 9.0 | 6.1 | 4.5 | |
| Encroachment fines (tons/yr)# | 232.5 | 50.7 | 85.7 | 59.4 | |
| Encroachment course) (tons/yr)# | 431.8 | 94.2 | 159.1 | 110.4 | |
| Total fine yield (tons/yr) | 260.9 | 63.5 | 95.8 | 69.8 | |
| Total course yield (tons/yr) | 446.6 | 103.2 | 165.2 | 114.9 | |
| Total Sediment (t/yr) | 1306.4 | 366.6 | 494.3 | 520.5 | |

* Uses mass failure and delivery rates developed from CWE protocol pro-rated for road miles.
0.1767 (8.04 tons/ 10 yr/4.55 mi/10 yr or

Soil Percent Fines^

0.35 Fines

0.65 Course

^ from weighted avearge of fines and stones in soils groups

Assume: one -quarter inch from three feet banks; density = 2.6 g/cc
0.020833 0.25"yr/12"
4.54E+08 119*56*5280*28317cc/ft3*2.6 g/cc = g/yr
9080000 454g/lb* 2000 lb/t*10 yr
49.94769 t/mile

Sed. Total

Shoshone-Lost watersheds Sediment Export

| Sub-watershed | Upper Sho | Falls | Lower Sho | Lost | Total (tons/yr) |
|---------------------------------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------------|
| Land use fines export (tons/yr) | 209.7 | 69.9 | 81.7 | 117.5 | |
| Landuse course export (tons/yr) | 389.2 | 129.9 | 151.7 | 218.3 | |
| Road fines export (tons/yr) | 260.0 | 63.5 | 95.8 | 69.8 | |
| Road course export (tons/yr) | 446.6 | 103.2 | 165.2 | 114.9 | |
| Total fines export tons/yr) | 469.7 | 133.4 | 177.5 | 187.3 | 967.9 |
| Total course export tons/yr) | 835.8 | 233.1 | 316.9 | 333.2 | 1719.0 |
| Total (tons/yr) | 1305.5 | 366.5 | 494.4 | 520.5 | 2686.9 |
| Natural Background | 596.3 | 199.6 | 232.7 | 330.7 | 1359.3 |
| | | | | | 1327.6 |
| | | | | | 1.976746 |

Landuse

North Fork Coeur d'Alene River Prichard-Beaver Land Use

| Sub-watershed | WF Eagle | EF Eagle | Eagle | Up Prich | Lower Pric | Up Beav | Low Beav |
|-----------------------|----------|----------|-------|----------|------------|---------|----------|
| Pasture (ac) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Forest Land (ac) | 12258 | 14187 | 1340 | 20,858 | 9637 | 12792 | 13673 |
| Unstocked forest (ac) | 233 | 600 | 13 | 3759 | 19 | 869 | 491 |
| Double Fires (ac) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 862 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Highway (ac) | 0 | 0 | 5 | 41 | 35 | 22 | 23 |

Road Data

| | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------|-------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Forest roads (mi) | 87.5 | 123.8 | 17.5 | 81.5 | 111.7 | 118.1 | 103.5 | 643.6 |
| Ave. road density (mi/sq mi) | 4.5 | 5.4 | 8.3 | 2.1 | 7.4 | 5.5 | 4.7 | |
| Road crossing number | 25 | 35 | 1 | 45 | 25 | 63 | 36 | |
| Road crossing freq. | 1.7 | 2.2 | 1 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 1.4 | |
| Encroaching Forest Roads (mi) | 6.2 | 10.3 | 0.2 | 12 | 3.7 | 13.3 | 6.3 | 52 |
| Roads on unstable lands (mi) | 55.2 | 82.6 | 7.1 | 47.1 | 52.2 | 79.5 | 66.6 | |
| CWE score | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | |

Sed. Yield

Prichard-Beaver Sediment Yield

| Watershed | WF Eagle | EF Eagle | Eagle | Up Prich | Lower Pric | Up Beav | Low Beav | Yield Coeff. (tons/ac/yr) |
|----------------------------------|----------|----------|-------|----------|------------|---------|----------|--|
| Conifer Forest (tons/yr)(fine) | 112.8 | 130.5 | 12.3 | 191.9 | 88.7 | 117.7 | 125.8 | 0.023 |
| (course) | 169.2 | 195.8 | 18.5 | 287.8 | 133.0 | 176.5 | 188.7 | |
| Unstoched Forest (tons/yr)(fine) | 2.5 | 6.5 | 0.1 | 40.6 | 0.2 | 9.4 | 5.3 | 0.027 |
| (course) | 3.8 | 9.7 | 0.2 | 60.9 | 0.3 | 14.1 | 8.0 | |
| Double Fires (tons/yr)(fine) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.004 |
| (course) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Highway (tons/yr) (fine) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.019 |
| (course) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | |
| Total Yield (tons/yr)(fine) | 115.3 | 137.0 | 12.5 | 234.2 | 89.1 | 127.2 | 131.3 | tons extrapolated from Wolf Lodge Creek. |
| (Course) | 172.9 | 205.5 | 18.8 | 351.3 | 133.7 | 190.9 | 196.9 | |

Forest Road Sediment Yield

| Watershed | WF Eagle | EF Eagle | Eagle | Up Prich | Lower Pric | Up Beav | Low Beav | 5 Yield Coeff. (tons/mi/yr) |
|---------------------------------|----------|----------|-------|----------|------------|---------|----------|--|
| Forest road | | | | | | | | |
| Surface fine sediment (tons/yr) | 9.5 | 13.3 | 0.4 | 17.0 | 9.5 | 23.9 | 13.6 | * Uses mass failure and delivery rates developed from CWE protocol pro-rated for road miles. |
| Road failure fines (tons/yr)* | 3.9 | 5.8 | 0.5 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 5.6 | 4.7 | |
| Road failure course (tons/yr)* | 5.9 | 8.8 | 0.8 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 8.4 | 7.1 | |
| Encroachment fines (tons/yr)# | 123.9 | 205.8 | 4.0 | 239.7 | 73.9 | 265.7 | 125.9 | 0.1767 (8.04 tons/ 10 yr/4.55 mi/10 yr or tons/yr/mi) |
| Encroachment course) (tons/yr)# | 185.8 | 308.7 | 6.0 | 359.6 | 110.9 | 398.6 | 188.8 | Soil Percent Fines^ |
| Total fine yield (tons/yr) | 137.2 | 224.9 | 4.9 | 260.1 | 87.1 | 295.2 | 144.2 | 0.4 Fines |
| Total course yield (tons/yr) | 191.7 | 317.4 | 6.7 | 364.6 | 116.4 | 407.0 | 195.9 | 0.6 Course |
| Total Sediment (t/yr) | 617.1 | 884.8 | 42.9 | 1210.2 | 426.3 | 1020.3 | 668.2 | ^ from weighted avearge of fines and stones in soils groups # Assume: one -quarter inch from three feet banks;density = 2.6g/cc 0.020833 0.25"yr/12" 4.54E+08 119*56*5280*28317cc/ft3*2.6 g/cc = g/yr 9080000 454g/lb* 2000 lb/t*10 yr 49.94769 t/mile |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Sed. Total

Prichard-Beaver Watersheds Sediment Export

| Sub-watershed | WF Eagle | EF Eagle | Eagle | Up Prich | Lower Pric | Up Beav | Low Beav | Total (tons/yr) |
|---------------------------------|----------|----------|-------|----------|------------|---------|----------|-----------------|
| Land use fines export (tons/yr) | 115.3 | 137.0 | 12.5 | 234.2 | 89.1 | 127.2 | 131.3 | |
| Landuse course export (tons/yr) | 172.9 | 205.5 | 18.8 | 351.3 | 133.7 | 190.9 | 196.9 | |
| Road fines export (tons/yr) | 137.2 | 224.9 | 4.9 | 260.1 | 87.1 | 295.2 | 144.2 | |
| Road course export (tons/yr) | 191.7 | 317.4 | 6.7 | 364.6 | 116.4 | 407.0 | 195.7 | |
| Total fines export tons/yr) | 252.5 | 361.9 | 17.4 | 494.3 | 176.2 | 422.4 | 275.5 | 2000.2 |
| Total course export tons/yr) | 364.6 | 522.9 | 25.5 | 715.9 | 250.1 | 597.9 | 392.6 | 2869.5 |
| Total (tons/yr) | 617.1 | 884.8 | 42.9 | 1210.2 | 426.3 | 1020.3 | 668.1 | 4869.7 |
| Natural Background | 287.3 | 340.1 | 31.2 | 567.1 | 222.9 | 314.7 | 326.3 | 2089.7 |
| | | | | | | | | 2780.0 |
| | | | | | | | | 2.330374 |

Landuse

North Fork Coeur d'Alene River Lower North Fork Land Use

| Sub-watershed | Downey | Ur-Creak | Grizzley | Browns | Steamboat | Graham | Cougar | Lower NF |
|-----------------------|--------|----------|----------|--------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Pasture (ac) | 0 | 1096 | 0 | 1023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1472 |
| Forest Land (ac) | 5960 | 16998 | 10,120 | 11,405 | 25,922 | 5779 | 12222 | 19206 |
| Unstocked forest (ac) | 75 | 276 | 306 | 304 | 582 | 184 | 99 | 237 |
| Double Fires (ac) | 0 | 6 | 87 | 111 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Highway (ac) | 0 | 61 | 13 | 20 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 50 |

Road Data

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------|-------|------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|--------|
| Forest roads (mi) | 79.6 | 186.7 | 68.2 | 125.5 | 423 | 0.2 | 170.1 | 219.5 | 1053.3 |
| Ave. road density (mi/sq mi) | 8.4 | 6.5 | 4.2 | 6.3 | 10.2 | 0 | 8.8 | 3 | |
| Road crossing number | 47 | 43 | 21 | 38 | 111 | 1 | 33 | 86 | |
| Road crossing freq. | 3.8 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 1.5 | |
| Encroaching Forest Roads (mi) | 6.4 | 9 | 5.8 | 7.1 | 25.3 | 0 | 6 | 17.7 | 59.6 |
| Roads on unstable lands (mi) | 52.8 | 118.6 | 50.1 | 67.5 | 213.6 | 0 | 88.1 | 100.2 | |
| CWE score | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | |

Sed. Yield

Lower North Fork Sediment Yield

| Watershed | Downey | Ur-Creak | Grizzley | Browns | Steamboat | Graham | Cougar | Lower NF | Yield Coeff. (tons/ac/yr) |
|----------------------------------|--------|----------|----------|--------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|--|
| Pasture (tons/yr) | 0.0 | 32.9 | 0.0 | 30.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.2 | 0.03 |
| Conifer Forest (tons/yr)(fine) | 54.8 | 156.4 | 93.1 | 104.9 | 238.5 | 53.2 | 112.4 | 176.7 | 0.023 |
| (course) | 82.2 | 234.6 | 139.7 | 157.4 | 357.7 | 79.8 | 168.7 | 265.0 | |
| Unstoched Forest (tons/yr)(fine) | 0.8 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 6.3 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 0.027 |
| (course) | 1.2 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 4.9 | 9.4 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 3.8 | |
| Double Fires (tons/yr)(fine) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.004 |
| (course) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Highway (tons/yr)(fine) | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.019 |
| (course) | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | |
| Bank Erosion (tons/yr)(fine) | | | | | | | | | tons extrapolated from Wolf Lodge Creek. |
| (course) | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Yield (tons/yr)(fine) | 55.6 | 192.7 | 96.6 | 139.2 | 244.8 | 55.2 | 113.5 | 223.8 | |
| (Course) | 83.5 | 239.8 | 145.0 | 162.8 | 367.2 | 82.7 | 170.3 | 269.5 | |

Forest Road Sediment Yield

| Watershed | Downey | Ur-Creak | Grizzley | Browns | Steamboat | Graham | Cougar | Lower NF | 5 Yield Coeff. (tons/mi/yr) |
|---------------------------------|--------|----------|----------|--------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|--|
| Forest road | | | | | | | | | |
| Surface fine sediment (tons/yr) | 17.8 | 16.3 | 8.0 | 14.4 | 42.0 | 0.4 | 12.5 | 32.6 | |
| Road failure fines (tons/yr)* | 3.7 | 8.4 | 3.5 | 4.8 | 15.1 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 7.1 | * Uses mass failure and delivery rates developed from |
| Road failure course (tons/yr)* | 5.6 | 12.6 | 5.3 | 7.2 | 22.6 | 0.0 | 9.3 | 10.6 | CWE protocol pro-rated for road miles. |
| Encroachment fines (tons/yr)# | 127.9 | 179.8 | 115.9 | 141.9 | 505.5 | 0.0 | 119.9 | 353.6 | 0.1767 (8.04 tons/ 10 yr/4.55 mi/10 yr or tons/yr/mi) |
| Encroachment course) (tons/yr)# | 191.8 | 269.7 | 173.8 | 212.8 | 758.2 | 0.0 | 179.8 | 530.4 | Soil Percent Fines^ |
| Total fine yield (tons/yr) | 149.4 | 204.5 | 127.4 | 161.0 | 562.6 | 0.4 | 138.6 | 393.3 | 0.4 Fines |
| Total course yield (tons/yr) | 197.4 | 282.3 | 179.1 | 219.9 | 780.9 | 0.0 | 189.2 | 541.1 | 0.6 Course |
| Total sediment (t/yr) | 485.9 | 919.2 | 548.1 | 683.0 | 1955.4 | 138.3 | 611.5 | 1427.6 | ^ from weighted avearge of fines and stones in soils groups. |

Assume: one -quarter inch from three feet banks;
0.020833 0.25"yr/12" density = 2.6 g/cc
4.54E+08 119*56*5280*28317cc/ft3*2.6 g/cc = g/yr
9080000 454g/lb* 2000 lb/t*10 yr
49.94769 t/mile

Sed. Total

Lower North Fork Watersheds Sediment Export

| Sub-watershed | Downey | Ur-Creak | Grizzley | Browns | Steamboat | Graham | Cougar | Lower NF | Total (tons/yr) |
|---------------------------------|--------|----------|----------|--------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|-----------------|
| Land use fines export (tons/yr) | 55.6 | 192.7 | 96.6 | 139.2 | 244.8 | 55.2 | 113.5 | 223.8 | |
| Landuse course export (tons/yr) | 83.5 | 239.8 | 145.0 | 162.8 | 367.2 | 82.7 | 170.3 | 269.6 | |
| Road fines export (tons/yr) | 149.4 | 204.5 | 127.4 | 161 | 562.6 | 0.4 | 138.6 | 393.3 | |
| Road course export (tons/yr) | 197.4 | 282.3 | 179.1 | 219.9 | 780.9 | 0 | 189.2 | 541.1 | |
| Bank fines export (tons/yr) | | | | | | | | | |
| Bank course export (tons/yr) | | | | | | | | | |
| Total fines export tons/yr) | 205.0 | 397.2 | 224.0 | 300.2 | 807.4 | 55.6 | 252.1 | 617.1 | 2858.6 |
| Total course export tons/yr) | 280.9 | 522.1 | 324.1 | 382.7 | 1148.1 | 82.7 | 359.5 | 810.7 | 3910.8 |
| Total (tons/yr) | 485.9 | 919.3 | 548.1 | 682.9 | 1955.5 | 138.3 | 611.6 | 1427.8 | 6769.4 |
| Natural Background | 138.8 | 423.9 | 240.1 | 293.3 | 609.6 | 137.2 | 283.4 | 482.2 | 2608.5 |
| | | | | | | | | | 4160.9 |
| | | | | | | | | | 2.595178 |

Landuse

North Fork Coeur d'Alene River
Little North Fork Land Use

| Sub-watershed | UpLtNF | Hudlow | Iron | Barney | Brt Cabin | Deception | Skookum | Lieberg | Laverne | Copper | Bumblebee | Low Lt NF |
|-----------------------|--------|--------|-------|--------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|--------|-----------|-----------|
| Pasture (ac) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 344.2 |
| Forest Land (ac) | 10680 | 6,636 | 6,055 | 2,652 | 18404 | 3505 | 4371 | 15501 | 11314 | 12152 | 15448 | 0 |
| Unstocked forest (ac) | 21 | 112 | 14 | 33 | 37 | 0 | 156 | 172 | 59 | 26 | 490 | 0 |
| Double Fires (ac) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Road Data

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|-------|------|-------|---|--------|
| Forest roads (mi) | 142.4 | 77 | 116 | 30.6 | 308.8 | 68.4 | 61 | 210.1 | 127.6 | 145 | 170.4 | 0 | 1457.3 |
| Ave. road density (mi/sq mi) | 8.5 | 7.3 | 12.2 | 7.3 | 10.7 | 12.5 | 8.6 | 6.6 | 7.2 | 7.6 | 6.8 | 0 | |
| Road crossing number | 38 | 26 | 28 | 4 | 69 | 39 | 9 | 31 | 19 | 31 | 42 | 0 | |
| Road crossing freq. | 1.6 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 2 | 4.6 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0 | |
| Encroaching Forest Roads (mi) | 7.9 | 6.4 | 7 | 0.9 | 17.1 | 7.4 | 1.9 | 8.7 | 4.4 | 6.2 | 9.9 | 0 | 122.7 |
| Roads on unstable lands (mi) | 79.8 | 51.3 | 89.2 | 15.2 | 119.7 | 45.7 | 24.1 | 155.9 | 47.1 | 72.4 | 126.4 | 0 | |
| CWE score | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 0 | |

Sed. Yield

Little North Fork Sediment Yield

| Watershed | UpLtNF | Hudlow | Iron | Barney | Brt Cabin | Deception | Skookum | Lieberg | Laverne | Copper | Bumblebee | Low Lt NF |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------|------|--------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|--------|-----------|-----------|
| Pasture (tons/yr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.3 |
| Conifer Forest (tons/yr)(fine) | 98.3 | 61.1 | 55.7 | 24.4 | 169.3 | 32.2 | 40.2 | 142.6 | 104.1 | 111.8 | 142.1 | 0.0 |
| (course) | 147.4 | 91.6 | 83.6 | 36.6 | 254.0 | 48.4 | 60.3 | 213.9 | 156.1 | 167.7 | 213.2 | 0.0 |
| Unstoched Forest (tons/yr)(fine) | 0.2 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 5.3 | 0.0 |
| (course) | 0.3 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 7.9 | 0.0 |
| Double Fires (tons/yr)(fine) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| (course) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Bank Erosion (tons/yr)(fine) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (course) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Yield (tons/yr)(fine) | 98.5 | 62.3 | 55.9 | 24.8 | 169.7 | 32.2 | 41.9 | 144.5 | 105.0 | 112.1 | 147.4 | 10.3 |
| (Course) | 147.7 | 93.4 | 83.8 | 37.1 | 254.6 | 48.4 | 62.8 | 216.7 | 157.1 | 168.1 | 221.1 | 0.0 |

Forest Road Sediment Yield

| Watershed | UpLtNF | Hudlow | Iron | Barney | Brt Cabin | Deception | Skookum | Lieberg | Laverne | Copper | Bumblebee | Low Lt NF |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------|-------|--------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|--------|-----------|-----------|
| Forest road | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Surface fine sediment (tons/yr) | 14.4 | 9.8 | 10.6 | 1.5 | 26.1 | 14.8 | 3.4 | 11.7 | 7.2 | 11.7 | 15.9 | 0.0 |
| Road failure fines (tons/yr)* | 5.6 | 3.6 | 6.3 | 1.1 | 8.5 | 3.2 | 1.7 | 11.0 | 8.7 | 5.1 | 8.9 | 0.0 |
| Road failure course (tons/yr)* | 8.5 | 5.4 | 9.5 | 1.6 | 12.7 | 4.8 | 2.6 | 16.5 | 5.0 | 7.7 | 13.4 | 0.0 |
| Encroachment fines (tons/yr)# | 157.8 | 127.9 | 139.9 | 18.0 | 341.6 | 147.8 | 21.9 | 173.8 | 87.9 | 123.9 | 197.8 | 0.0 |
| Encroachment course) (tons/yr)# | 236.8 | 191.8 | 209.8 | 27.0 | 512.5 | 221.8 | 56.9 | 260.7 | 131.9 | 185.8 | 296.7 | 0.0 |
| Total fine yield (tons/yr) | 177.9 | 141.3 | 156.8 | 20.6 | 376.2 | 165.8 | 27.0 | 196.6 | 103.8 | 140.7 | 222.6 | 0.0 |
| Total course yield (tons/yr) | 245.2 | 197.2 | 219.2 | 28.6 | 525.2 | 226.6 | 59.5 | 277.3 | 136.9 | 193.5 | 310.1 | 0.0 |
| Total sediment (t/yr) | 669.3 | 494.2 | 515.6 | 111.0 | 1325.7 | 473.1 | 191.2 | 835.0 | 502.8 | 614.4 | 901.3 | 10.3 |

Yield Coeff. (tons/ac/yr)

0.03
0.023

0.027

0.004

tons extrapolated from Wolf Lodge Creek; better number Spring 2000.

5 Yield Coeff. (tons/mi/yr)

* Uses mass failure and delivery rates developed from CWE protocol pro-rated for road miles.

0.1767 (8.04 tons/ 10 yr/4.55 mi/10 yr or

Soil Percent Fines^

0.4 Fines
0.6 Course

^ from weighted avearge of fines and stones in soils groups

Assume: one -quarter inch from three feet banks; density = 2.6 g/cc

0.020833 0.25"yr/12"

4.54E+08 119*56*5280*28317cc/ft3*2.6 g/cc = g/yr

9080000 454g/lb* 2000 lb/t*10 yr

49.94769 t/mile

Little North Fork Watersheds Sediment Export

| Sub-watershed | UpLtNF | Hudlow | Iron | Barney | Brт Cabin | Deception | Skookum | Lieberg | Laverne | Copper | Bumblebee | Low Lt NF | Total (tons/ |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------|-------|--------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|--------|-----------|-----------|--------------|
| Land use fines export (tons/yr) | 98.5 | 62.3 | 55.9 | 24.8 | 169.7 | 32.2 | 41.9 | 144.5 | 105.0 | 112.1 | 147.4 | 10.3 | |
| Landuse course export (tons/yr) | 147.7 | 93.4 | 83.8 | 37.1 | 254.6 | 48.4 | 62.8 | 216.7 | 157.1 | 168.1 | 221.1 | 0.0 | |
| Road fines export (tons/yr) | 177.8 | 141.3 | 156.8 | 21.1 | 376.2 | 165.8 | 27.8 | 196.6 | 103.8 | 140.7 | 222.1 | 0.0 | |
| Road course export (tons/yr) | 245.2 | 197.2 | 219.2 | 28.6 | 525.2 | 226.6 | 59.5 | 277.3 | 136.9 | 193.5 | 310.1 | 0.0 | |
| Bank fines export (tons/yr) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bank course export (tons/yr) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total fines export tons/yr) | 276.3 | 203.6 | 212.7 | 45.9 | 545.9 | 198.0 | 69.7 | 341.1 | 208.8 | 252.8 | 369.5 | 10.3 | 2734.6 |
| Total course export tons/yr) | 392.9 | 290.6 | 303.0 | 65.7 | 779.8 | 275.0 | 122.3 | 494.0 | 294.0 | 361.6 | 531.2 | 0.0 | 3910.1 |
| Total (tons/yr) | 669.2 | 494.2 | 515.7 | 111.6 | 1325.7 | 473.0 | 192.0 | 835.1 | 502.8 | 614.4 | 900.7 | 10.3 | 6644.7 |
| Natural Background | 246.1 | 155.2 | 139.6 | 61.8 | 424.1 | 80.6 | 104.1 | 360.5 | 261.6 | 280.1 | 366.6 | 7.9 | 2488.2 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | 4156.5 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2.670495 |